(3) (5)

CF1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 1213 of 2007

PETITIONER: Smt. Jaikumari W/o Shri Shobharam Patel, age 32 years, R/o Laladhurwa, Tahsil Sarangarh, District Raigarh, (Chhattisgarh)

- **Versus**-

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary Department of Women & Child welfare, D. K. S. Bhawan, Shastri Chowk, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Collector, District Raigarh, Office of Collector (Women & Child Development) at Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Project Officer, Integrated Child Development Service Project, Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Gram Panchayt Dhorabhata, Janpad Panchayat Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
5. Mam Bai D/o Shri Balmukund Kalar, Age unknown, R/o Dhorabhata, Tahsil Sarmangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 1468/07 Sourabh Sharma Presented on 28/12/07 dated

RECEIVED-COPY 31/12/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(4.2)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 1213 of 2007

Petitioner Smt. Jaikumari

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Present: Mr. Sourabh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents No. 1 to 3.

ORDER

(Passed on 1st Day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was duly selected as Anganbadi Karyakarta in Gram Panchayat Dhorahabhata, Tahsil Sarangarh, District-Raigarh vide order dated 26-10-2006 (Annexure P-5).

2. On the basis of complaint made by respondent No. 5 that the petitioner's husband is a Panch of Gram Panchayat and as such the petitioner is disqualified for being selected on the post of Anganbadi Karyakarta.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contended that the name of the Panch and the name of her husband is one, but the elected Panch is not the husband of the petitioner. It is further contended that without examining this aspects, the Project Officer has disqualified the petitioner from post of Anganbadi Karyakarta.

4. The respondent No. 2 is directed to look into all the allegations and after holding appropriate inquiry, pass the appropriate order within a reasonable time, in accordance with Aanganbadi Scheme and law

5. With the above direction, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 790/2007, an application for grant of interim relief stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash